Evolutionary genetics

Fatchiyah Dept of Biology UB



Goals of evolutionary genetics

Basis of genetic and phenotypic variation

- # and effects of genes
- gene interactions
- pleiotropic effects of genes
- genotype-phenotype relationship
- Origin of variation
 - Distribution of mutational effects
 - Recombination
- Maintenance of variation
 - Drift
 - Selection

Distribution of variation

- within and among populations (metapop. structure)
- within and among species
- clinal variation

Major questions

Molecular evolution

- rate of neutral and selected sequence changes
- gene and genome structure

Character evolution

- rate of evolution
- predicted or reconstructed direction of Δ
- evolutionary constraints
- genotype-phenotype relationship (development)

Process of population differentiation

• outbreeding depression and hybrid inviability

Process of speciation

- genetic differentiation
- reproductive isolation

Approaches

 Traditionally two major approaches have been used

Mendelian population genetics

 examine dynamics of a limited # of alleles at a limited # of loci

quantitative genetics

- assume a large # of genes of small effect
- continuous variation
- statistical description of genetics and evolution

Population genetic example

- Example captures basic approach to evolutionary models
 - evolution proceeds by changes in the frequencies of alleles
 - basic processes underlie almost all other approaches to modeling
- Conclusions from simple pop-gen models can be a useful first approach

A population genetic model

Assumptions

- a single locus with two alleles (A and a)
- diploid population
- random mating
- discrete generations
- Iarge population size

The population

- With random mating the frequencies of the three genotypes are the product of the individual allele frequencies
- This is the "Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium"

•
$$F(A) = p$$
 $F(a) = q$

AA Aa aa p^2 2pq q^2

Selection



Evolution

Allele frequencies in the next generation

 $p^2 W_{AA} + p q W_{Aa}$ $pqw_{Aa} + q^2 W_{aa}$

- Selection biases probability of sampling the two alleles when constructing the next generation
- Genotype frequencies are still in H-W equilibrium at the frequencies defined by p' and q'

<u>Selection</u> can change population genetic structure

<u>Selection</u> – nonrandom survival or reproductive success (RS) of different phenotypes

<u>differential reproductive success</u> of different phenotypes

When can selection lead to evolution?

When different phenotypes represent different genotypes $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{h}^2$ (i.e., when phenotypic differences are heritable).

IF:

genotypes differ in average fitness

THEN:

some genotypes will contribute more alleles to future generations

<u>How</u> does **selection** cause change in allele frequencies?

		sperm 0.5 A 0.5 a	
Parental allele freq: 0.5 A 0.5 a	0.5 A eggs 0.5 a	0.25 AA	0.25 Aa
		0.25 Aa	0.25 aa

1000 zygotes:	Survival to repro:	Su
250 AA	100% for <mark>AA</mark>	
500 A a	75% for <mark>Aa</mark>	
250 aa	50% for <u>aa</u>	

rvivors: 250 AA 375 Aa 125 aa 750 indv's

Offspring allele freq: $250 \ge 2 + 375 = 875 / 1500 = 0.58 \text{ A}$ $125 \ge 2 + 375 = 625 / 1500 = 0.42 a$

Did allele frequencies change? Why?

Case study: Sickle cell disease



aa − abnormal ß hemoglobinsickle-cell disease

AA – normal β hemoglobin

 $Aa - both \beta$ hemoglobins

very low fitness

Case study: Sickle cell disease



aa – abnormal β hemoglobin sickle-cell disease

AA – normal ß hemoglobin vulnerable to malaria very low fitness

intermed. fitness

Aa – both ß hemoglobins resistant to malaria

high fitness

Heterozygote advantage in populations with malaria.

<u>Mutation</u> can change population genetic structure

Mutation rates are <u>low</u>

typically <1/10,000 per generation ($\mu \le 0.0001$)

<u>Loss-of-function</u> mutations are much more common than back mutations that restore function

How does mutation cause change in allele frequencies?

Allele freq. before mutation: 0.5 A 0.5 a Mutation rate: $0.0001 \text{ A} \rightarrow a$

Allele freq. after mutation: 0.5000 A 0.5000 a - 0.0001 + 0.0001 0.4999 A 0.5001 a

Did allele frequencies change?

WHY?

What if mutation continued over many generations?

How does mutation cause change in allele frequencies?

Most mutations are <u>deleterious</u>

should be eliminated by selection ($\mu \leq 0.0001$)

Mutation-selection balance

removal by selection offsets recurrent mutations

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{more mutations} \\ \text{per generation} \end{array} \rightarrow$

→ higher frequency of mutant allele at equilibrium

stronger selection against mutant allele

 \rightarrow lower frequency of mutant allele at equilibrium

<u>Genetic drift</u> can change population genetic structure

<u>Genetic drift</u> – random change in allele frequencies

due to sampling error

random difference between expectation and actual results

count all alleles in the gene pool

sample only some alleles from the gene pool

<u>Genetic drift</u> – does not lead to adaptation, because it is purely random

alleles get passed on based on luck or chance

– most pronounced in **small populations**

Key points about genetic drift

- 1. Each population has a <u>unique trajectory</u>.
- 2. Drift has greater influence in <u>small populations</u>.
- 3. Drift can lead to large changes in allele frequencies over time.
- 4. Over time, <u>alleles can be lost</u>.





Forms of genetic drift

Founder effect

- a small group leaves a large population and starts a new population



allele frequencies may differ due to sampling error

Population bottleneck

- large population shrinks to a small number of individuals,

which reproduce to repopulate



descendent population

allele frequencies may differ due to sampling error <u>Migration</u> can change population genetic structure

Migration – movement of alleles between populations

 movement of individuals will result in evolution only if it results in gene flow

(must move and **reproduce** in new population)

WHY?

<u>How</u> does migration cause change in allele frequencies?



Allele freq. before migration:

Did allele frequencies change?

Allele freq. after migration:

Is the population in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium?

$$p^{2} = (0.95)^{2} = 0.9025$$

$$2pq = 2(0.95)(0.05) = 0.0950$$

$$q^{2} = (0.05)^{2} = 0.0025$$

What if migration continued over many generations?

Migration makes population more similar

AlleleA1 simulation – one-way migration (gene flow)

Population 1 ("island")

Population 2 ("mainland")



<u>Migration</u> makes population more similar

AlleleA1 simulation – one-way migration (gene flow)

Population 1 ("island")

Population 2 ("mainland")



Real life – gene flow can be one-way or two-way



<u>Migration</u> makes population more similar

AlleleA1 simulation – one-way migration (gene flow)

Population 1 ("island")

Population 2 ("mainland")



Real life – gene flow can be one-way or two-way





• mutation

introduces new alleles *diversity within populations*

• migration

• genetic drift

selection
 removes harmful alleles
 usually diversity within populations
 diversity between populations

• non-random mating

mutation vs. selection

introduces new alleles



diversity within populations

removes harmful alleles

diversity within populations

Mutation-selection balance

recurrent mutations offset removal by selection

more mutations per generation

ightarrow higher frequency of mutant allele at equilibrium

stronger selection against mutant allele

ightarrow lower frequency of mutant allele at equilibrium

mutation

• migration

introduces new allelesdiversity within populationsdiversity between populations

• genetic drift

selection
 removes harmful alleles
 usually diversity within populations
 diversity between populations

• non-random mating

migration vs. selection

introduces new alleles



diversity within populations diversity between populations



removes harmful alleles

diversity within populations diversity between populations

Balance between migration and selection

- input from migration offsets removal by selection
- homogenizing force of migration offset by diversifying force of selection

more migration per generation

higher frequency of migrant allele at equilibrium;
 populations become similar

stronger selection against migrant allele $\rightarrow \frac{\text{lower frequency of migrant allele at equilibrium;}}{\text{populations remain distinct}}$

• mutation

migration

• genetic drift

introduces new alleles diversity within populations diversity between populations

loss of alleles diversity within populations diversity between populations

• selection

• non-random mating

migration vs. drift

introduces new alleles *diversity within populations*

diversity between populations

loss of alleles diversity within populations diversity between populations

Balance between migration and drift

- input from migration offsets removal by drift
- homogenizing force of migration offset by diversifying force of drift

more migration per generation

higher frequency of migrant allele at equilibrium;
 populations become similar

smaller population size drift affects frequency of migrant allele (usually lost); populations remain distinct

Balance depends on population size



• mutation

• migration

• genetic drift

loss of alleles diversity within populations diversity between populations

• selection

usualy

removes harmful alleles y diversity within populations y diversity between populations

• non-random mating

selection vs. drift

removes harmful alleles

↓ ↑can

diversity within populations

t diversity between populations

loss of alleles diversity within populations diversity between populations

Balance between selection and drift

- random force of drift can oppose selection against deleterious allele
- drift opposes adaptation

stronger selection against deleterious allele → lower frequency of deleterious allele at equilibrium; populations become adapted

smaller population size drift affects frequency of deleterious allele (may be kept);
 populations drift

Balance depends on population size



How can evolutionary biology help fight disease?

How do pathogens evolve to be harmful? Can we stop pathogens from evolving harmful traits?

• Evolution of <u>drug resistance</u>

mutation – rare mutations for resistance genes

natural selection – resistant individuals have higher fitness in environments with the drug

→ changing the <u>selective environment</u> can slow the evolution of resistance (presence of drug)

• Evolution of <u>virulence</u>

virulence – how harmful a pathogen is to its host

depends on natural selection and migration

→ decreasing opportunities for <u>migration</u> can make virulence less adaptive (spread to new host)

Pathogen populations that grow quickly are more harmful (virulent)

Should natural selection favor alleles that promote high replication rates?

trade-off

Pathogen fitness depends on spread to new hosts (migration)

high replication \rightarrow more infectious particles produced

 \rightarrow more likely to kill the host





Virulent pathogens \rightarrow

many infectious particles in host → easy to spread → host very sick



few infectious particles in host

→ hard to spread
→ host not very sick

tradeoff

transmission rate (trade-off) hypothesis: transmission requires opportunities for pathogen to spread to new hosts

many transmission opportunities

 \rightarrow contact with many potential hosts

 \rightarrow if infectious, can transmit to many new hosts in short time

→ favors <u>high virulence</u>

few transmission opportunities

- \rightarrow contact with few potential hosts
- \rightarrow must live a long time to have transmission opportunities
- \rightarrow favors <u>low virulence</u>

transmission rate (trade-off) hypothesis: transmission requires opportunities for pathogen to spread to new hosts

many transmission opportunities favor high virulence

- \rightarrow contact with many potential hosts
- \rightarrow if infectious, can transmit to many new hosts in short time

few transmission opportunities favor low virulence

- \rightarrow contact with few potential hosts
- \rightarrow must live a long time to have transmission opportunities

Example 1:

virulent HIV strains
 → high risk of spread to sexual partner(s)
 → low life expectancy of patient
 → favored when sex is promiscuous

less virulent HIV strains

→ low risk of spread to sexual partner(s)
→ high life expectancy of patient
→ favored when sex is monogamous

transmission rate (trade-off) hypothesis: transmission requires opportunities for pathogen to spread to new hosts

many transmission opportunities favor high virulence

- \rightarrow contact with many potential hosts
- \rightarrow if infectious, can transmit to many new hosts in short time

few transmission opportunities favor low virulence

- \rightarrow contact with few potential hosts
- \rightarrow must live a long time to have transmission opportunities

Example 2:

<u>vertically-transmitted</u> pathogens

(e.g., hepatitis, some STD's)

<u>horizontally-transmitted</u> pathogens

(e.g., malaria, influenza)

- \rightarrow parent-to-offspring spread
- \rightarrow host must live to reproduce
- \rightarrow favors low virulence
- → spread to any other individual
 → can spread rapidly
 → favors high virulence

transmission rate (trade-off) hypothesis: transmission requires opportunities for pathogen to spread to new hosts

many transmission opportunities favor high virulence

- \rightarrow contact with many potential hosts
- \rightarrow if infectious, can transmit to many new hosts in short time

few transmission opportunities favor low virulence

- \rightarrow contact with few potential hosts
- \rightarrow must live a long time to have transmission opportunities

Example 3:

<u>water-borne</u> or <u>vector-borne</u> pathogens (e.g., cholera, malaria)

directly transmitted pathogens

(e.g., common cold, mononucleosis)

- → can spread without contact between hosts
 → can spread rapidly
 → favors high virulence
- → require direct contact between hosts → hosts must remain active
- \rightarrow favors low virulence

transmission rate (trade-off) hypothesis: transmission requires opportunities for pathogen to spread to new hosts

many transmission opportunities favor high virulence

- \rightarrow contact with many potential hosts
- \rightarrow if infectious, can transmit to many new hosts in short time

few transmission opportunities favor low virulence

- \rightarrow contact with few potential hosts
- \rightarrow must live a long time to have transmission opportunities

In general:

if pathogen can quickly spread to other hosts

→ can afford to have negative effects on host
→ favors high virulence

if pathogens cannot spread quickly

→ needs to keep host around for a while
→ favors low virulence



→ decreasing disease spread (migration) can make virulence a less adaptive trait

- controlling mosquito outbreaks
- providing clean water for drinking
- washing hands
- preventing spread of STD's

- (1) less disease spread
- (2) transmission rate hyp. predicts that pathogens should evolve lower virulence